

Erratum: Real-time diagrammatic approach to transport through interacting quantum dots with normal and superconducting leads [Phys. Rev. B **77**, 134513 (2008)]

Michele Governale, Marco G. Pala, and Jürgen König
(Received 13 August 2008; published 28 August 2008)

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevB.78.069902](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.78.069902) PACS number(s): 74.45.+c, 73.23.Hk, 73.63.Kv, 73.21.La, 99.10.Cd

The Bogoliubov transform in Eq. (3) should read

$$\begin{pmatrix} \gamma_{\eta k \uparrow} \\ \gamma_{\eta -k \downarrow}^\dagger \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} u_{\eta k} & -v_{\eta k} S_\eta \\ v_{\eta k}^* S_\eta^\dagger & u_{\eta k}^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{\eta k \uparrow} \\ c_{\eta -k \downarrow}^\dagger \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3)$$

The definition of the matrix elements of the reduced matrix, given at the end of the second paragraph in Section II B, should read $P_{\xi_2}^{\xi_1} \equiv \langle \xi_1 | \rho_{\text{red}} | \xi_2 \rangle$. As a consequence, its connection to the pair amplitude in the dot, stated after Eq. (22), should be $P_0^D = \langle d_\downarrow d_\uparrow \rangle$.

There is a minus sign missing in Eqs. (17) and (18), respectively. They should read

$$J_{2\eta} = -\frac{e}{\hbar} \int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \Gamma_\eta \tilde{D}_\eta(\omega) \text{Re} \left\{ \text{Tr} \left[\tau_3 \frac{\Delta_\eta}{|\Delta_\eta|} \mathbf{G}^<(\omega) \right] \right\} \quad (17)$$

$$J_\eta = -\frac{2e}{\hbar} \Gamma_\eta |\langle d_\downarrow d_\uparrow \rangle| \sin(\Psi - \Phi_\eta). \quad (18)$$

Furthermore, the correct relation between current and isospin, given after Eq. (34c), is given by $J_{R,L} = \frac{2e}{\hbar} \Gamma_S (I_y \cos \frac{\Phi}{2} \mp I_x \sin \frac{\Phi}{2})$.

The Josephson current is defined such that it flows in the direction opposite to the phase gradient. Therefore, the relation between J_{jos} and $J_{L,R}$, given two lines before Eq. (19) and at the end of the first paragraph in Section III B, should read $J_{\text{jos}} = J_L = -J_R$ and $J_{\text{jos}} = (J_L - J_R)/2$, respectively.

We wish to emphasize that none of the final results presented in our paper, either as formulas or figures are affected by this Erratum.